ssresp.sim Simulating state-space response

1 Ahem.⁷

For many nonlinear models, numerical solution of the state equation is required. For linear models, we can always solve them analytically using the methods of this chapter. However, due to its convenience, we will often want to use numerical techniques even when analytic ones are available.

Matlab has several built-in and Control Systems Toolbox functions for analyzing state-space system models, especially linear models. We'll explore a few, here.

Consider, for instance, a linear state model with the following A, B, C, and D matrices:

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & -6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$

```
A = [-3,4,5;0,-2,3;0,-6,1];

B = [1;0;1];

C = [1,0,0;0,-1,0];

D = [0;0];
```

For a step input $\mathfrak{u}(t)=3\mathfrak{u}_s(t)$ and initial state $\boldsymbol{x}(0)=\begin{bmatrix}1&2&3\end{bmatrix}^\top$, let's compare analytic and numerical solutions for the output response $\boldsymbol{y}(t)$.

```
u = @(t) 3*ones(size(t)); % for t>=0
x_0 = [1; 2; 3];
```

Analytic solution

For an analytic solution, we'll use a rearranged version of ??.⁸

$$y(t) = C\Phi(t)x(0) + C\Phi(t) \int_0^t \Phi(-\tau)Bu(\tau)d\tau + Du(t) \frac{8}{3} a^{t} dt + Du(t) \frac{8}{3} a^{t} dt$$

7. The source of this lecture can be downloaded as a Matlab m-file at http://ricopic.one/dynamic_systems/source/simulating_state_space_response.m.

First, we need the state transition matrix $\Phi(t)$, so we consider the eigenproblem.

```
[M,L] = eig(A)
```

Note that, when assigning its output to two variables M and L, the eig function returns the modal matrix to M and the eigenvalue matrix to L. The modal matrix of eigenvectors M has each column (eigenvector) normalized to unity. Also notice that M and L are complex. The imaginary parts of two eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors are significant. Finally, since the real parts of the all eigenvalues are negative, the system is stable. The "diagonal"-basis state transition matrix $\Phi'(t)$ is simply

$$\Phi'(t) = e^{\Lambda t}. \tag{3}$$

Let's define this as an "anonymous" function.

```
Phi_p = @(t) diag(diag(exp(L*t))); % diags to get diagonal mat
```

The original-basis state transition matrix $\Phi(t)$ is, from ??,

$$\Phi(t) = M\Phi'(t)M^{-1}. \tag{4}$$




Figure sim.1: free response $y_{\rm fr}$.

```
M_inv = M^-1; % compute just once, not on every call
Phi = @(t) M*Phi_p(t)*M_inv;
```

Free response

The free response is relatively straightforward to compute.

```
t_a = 0:.05:5; % simulation time
y_fr = NaN*ones(size(C,1),length(t_a)); % initialize
for i = 1:length(t_a)
    y_fr(:,i) = C*Phi(t_a(i))*x_0;
end
y_fr(:,1:3) % first three columns
```

A time array t_a was defined such that Phi could be evaluated. The first three columns of y_{fr} are printed for the first three moments in time. Note how there's a "hanging chad" of imaginary components. Before we realize them, let's make sure they're negligibly tiny.

```
max(max(abs(imag(y_fr))))
y_fr = real(y_fr);
```

```
ans = 5.2907e-16
```

The results are plotted in Fig. sim.1. As we might expect from the eigenvalues, the free responses of both outputs oscillate and decay.

Forced response

Now, there is the matter of integration in Eq. 2. Since Matlab does not excel in symbolic manipulation, we have chosen to avoid attempting to write the solution, symbolically. For this reason, we choose a simple numerical (trapezoidal) approximation of the integral using the trapz function.

First, the integrand can be evaluated over the simulation interval.

```
9. Mathematica or SageMath would be preferrable for this.
```

```
integrand_a = NaN*ones(size(C,2),length(t_a)); % initialize
for i = 1:length(t_a)
   tau = t_a(i);
   integrand_a(:,i) = Phi(-tau)*B*u(tau);
end
```

Now, numerically integrate.

```
integral_a = zeros(size(integrand_a));
for i = 2:length(t_a)
    i_up = i; % upper limit of integration
    integral_a(:,i) = ... % transposes for trapz
        trapz(t_a(1:i_up)',integrand_a(:,1:i_up)')';
end
```

Now, evaluate the forced response at each time.

```
y_fo = NaN*ones(size(C,1),length(t_a)); % initialize
for i = 1:length(t_a)
    y_fo(:,i) = C*Phi(t_a(i))*integral_a(:,i);
end
y_fo(:,1:3) % first three columns
```

```
max(max(abs(imag(y_fo))))
y_fo = real(y_fo);
```




Figure sim.2: forced response y_{fo} .




Figure sim.3: total response y.

```
ans = 2.1409e-16
```

The forced response is shown in Fig. sim.2, which shows damped oscillations.

Total response

The total response is found from the sum of the free and forced responses: $y(t) = y_{fr} + y_{fo}$. We can simply sum the arrays.

The result is plotted in Fig. sim.3.

Numerical solution

The numerical solution of the state equations is rather simple using Matlab's ss and step or




Figure sim.4: total response y from 1sim.

lsim commands, as we show, here. First, we define an ss model object—a special kind of object that encodes a state-space model.

```
sys = ss(A,B,C,D);
```

At this point, using the step function would be the easiest way to solve for the step response. However, we choose the more-general lsim for demonstration purposes.

```
y_t_num = lsim(sys,u(t_a),t_a,x_0);
```

This total solution is shown in Fig. sim.4.

```
d_y = y_t - y_t num';
```

Fig. sim.5 shows a plot of the differences between the analytic total solution y_t and the numerical y_t_num for each output. Note that calling this "error" is a bit presumptuous, given that we used numerical integration in the analytic solution. If a more accurate method is desired, working out the solution, symbolically, is the best.




Figure sim.5: total response error $y_t-y_t_num$.